

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

(K)

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

		1120	washingto	II, D.C. 20231	/)	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
097452.74	9 12/01/99	ZAGOSKIN		Ĥ	M-7971-UC	
		MMC270507	7 [	EXAMINER		
624251 SKJERVEN	MORRILL MACP	TILL MACPHERSUN LLP			WILLE, D	
25 METRO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SULTE 700 SAN JOSE CA 95110				2814		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

05/07/01

	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
	09/452,749	ZAGOSKIN, ALEXANDRE M					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Douglas A Wille	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	March 2001 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   19   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   17   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20   Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/452,749

Art Unit 2814

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 12 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 3. Claim 12 shows a register with a bank and islands which are connected to each other with SETs. It is not known how such a structure would form a register and thus the device is not enabling of a register structure.
- 4. Claim 14 shows a register which consists of two banks with intervening islands, with the group being connected with JJs. It is not known how such a structure would form a register and thus the device is not enabling of a register structure.
- 5. Claim 15 shows a SET connection between each island and the second bank. It is not known how such a structure would form a register and thus the device is not enabling of a register structure. It is also not shown how to fabricate such a device nor the method of fabricating the SET nor what its structure is.
- 6. Similar remarks hold for claims 16, 17 and 18.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/452,749

Art Unit: 2814

Page 3

- 8. Claims 12 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. With respect to claim 12 and 14, it is not understood how such a device could function as a register. In particular it is not understood how the SET devices are fabricated or designed and is it not understood how they would function.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1 and 3 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Char et al.
- 12. Char et al. show the formation of grain boundary JJs of high temperature superconductor material (see cover Figures and column 2, line 3 et seq.) where an island 310 is connected to a body 312.
- 13. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Char et al. in view of Shnirman et al.
- 14. Char et al. show the basic device and Shnirman et al. show the use of a SET to read out a JJ q-bit (see Figure 1 and page 57, second column et seq.). It would have been obvious to modify the Char et al. device to include the SET to provide a readout for the Char et al. device.

Application/Control Number: 09/452,749

Art Unit 2814

- 15. Claims 6 and 8 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Char et al. in view of Baechtold et al.
- 16. Baechtold et al. show a binary circuit consisting of a series/parallel arrangement of JJs (see Figure 4 and column 5, line 57 et seq.). It would have been obvious to use the Char et al. structure in the Baechtold et al. device to provide the JJs.
- 17. Claim 7, 11 and 12 18, in so far as they are understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Char et al. in view of Baechtold et al. and further in view of Shnirman et al.
- 18. With respect to claims 7 and 11, It would have been obvious to use the Shnirman et al. structure to provide a readout for the device.
- 19. With respect to claims 12 18, it would be obvious to apply the structures described above in various combinations since the basic combination is shown.

### Drawings

20. The drawings are not objected to by the Examiner.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Wille whose telephone number is (703) 308-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-3:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Lauga X. Wille
Douglas A. Wille
Patent Examiner

Page 5

daw May 2, 2001